

References:

1. Vital statistics of the United States — 1970, Washington, D.C. Public Health Service, United States Department Health, Education and Welfare, 1972.
2. Abramson, D.J.: Letter, Sigmoidoscopic and complemental cervicovaginal examination. *JAMA* 235:1423, 1976.
3. Silverberg, E.: Cancer statistics, *Ca* 28:17-32, 1978.
4. Holleb, A.I.: Editorial: Public awareness of cancer detection tests: Results of a recent Gallup poll. *Ca* 27:255-256, 1977.
5. Grant, R.N.: Continuing education in cancer for the physicians in the United States — An appraisal. *Acta Un. Int. Cancer* 19:967-970, 1963.
6. Miller, C.J.; Reeder, L.G., and Manning, H.E.: Every doctor's office—a cancer detection center? *J Chronic Dis* 19:1211-1219, 1966.
7. Gilbertsen, V.A.: The case for periodic cancer detection examinations. *Ca* 17:219-225, 1967.
8. Hertz, R.E.L.; Deddish, M.R., and Day, E.: Value of periodic examinations in detecting cancer of the rectum and colon. *Postgrad. Med.* 27:290-294, 1960.
9. Greigor, D.H.: Occult blood testing for detection of asymptomatic colon cancer. *Cancer* 28:131-134, 1971.
10. Powers, J.H.: Proctosigmoidoscopy in private practice. *JAMA* 231:750-751, 1975.
11. Rasgon, I.M.: The importance of routine sigmoidoscopic examination in asymptomatic patients. *Ca* 19:339-343, 1969.
12. Rider, J.A.; Kirsner, J.B., Moeller, H.C., and Palmer, W.L.: Polyps of the colon and rectum: A four year to nine year follow-up study of five hundred and thirty-seven patients. *JAMA* 170:633-638, 1959.
13. Grinnel, R.S., and Lane, N.: Benign and malignant adenomatous polyps and papillary adenomas of the colon and rectum. An analysis of 1,856 tumors in 1,335 patients. *Surg. Gynec. Obstet. Internat. Abst.* 106:519-538, 1958.
14. Morson, B.C., and Bussey, H.J.R.: Predisposing causes of intestinal cancer. *Curr. Probl. Surg.* pp. 1-50, 1970.
15. Gilbertsen, V.A.: Proctosigmoidoscopy and polypectomy in reducing the incidence of rectal cancer. *Cancer* 34:Suppl:936-939, 1974.

Aims of the Conference

It is a bane and a blessing that the discovery of a basic scientific truth is slow in becoming an applied science. This has the advantage of preventing undeveloped and unsupported data from falling into the hands of the uncritical, but there is the disadvantage of withholding a significant advance from widespread usefulness. The essence of the problem appears to lie in determining with precision the significance of the discovery and its application in terms of the larger, already existing picture.

Charles S. Cameron, M.D.
Medical and Scientific Director
American Cancer Society

From: Round Table Conference on the Cytologic Method of Diagnosis in Cancer, Sponsored by American Cancer Society, Inc., April 12 and 13, 1948.